# Dynamic Output Feedback Fault-Tolerant Control for Switched Vehicle Active Suspension Delayed Systems 

Zhenhua Li, Hongtian Chen, Member, IEEE, Wentao Wu, Graduated Student Member, IEEE, and Weidong Zhang, Senior Member, IEEE


#### Abstract

This paper considers the problem of dynamic output feedback fault-tolerant control for switched vehicle active suspension delayed systems with unknown measurement sensitivities. By utilizing a dynamic switched gain, a switched reducedorder state observer is designed to estimate unavailable system states and uncertain system parameters. Subsequently, based on the Nussbaum gain technique, an output feedback faulttolerant control scheme is proposed to attenuate the influence of the unknown measurement sensitivity on the system output. In addition, an auxiliary switched system is constructed to make up for the effect of the actuator input delays and faults via the backstepping approach. It is proved that all the signals in the closed-loop switched system are semi-globally uniformly ultimate bounded. Meanwhile, the displacement of the vehicle body and the unsprung mass can remain within a small origin neighbourhood under a set of switching signals with average dwell time. Case studies are utilized to illustrate the flexibility and effectiveness of the proposed control approach and indicate that better stabilization of switched vehicle active suspension delayed systems can be achieved through the proposed adaptive fault-tolerant control strategy against the unknown measurement sensitivity.
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## I. Introduction

The vehicle suspension system is regarded as a crucial component of a vehicle, enhancing overall stability and safety. It can be categorized into three following kinds: passive, semiactive, and active suspension. Among these, the vehicle active suspension system has gained significant attention in recent decades due to growing customer demands for enhanced vehicle performance [1]-[3]. At present, various control strategies for the vehicle active suspension system have been developed, such as the robust control [4], [5], the sliding mode control

This paper was supported in part by the National Key R\&D Program of China under Grant 2022ZD0119900, in part by the Shanghai Science and Technology Program under Grant 22015810300, in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants U2141234 and 62303308, in part by the Hainan Province Science and Technology Special Fund under Grant ZDYF2021GXJS041, in part by Shanghai Pujiang Program under Grant 23PJ1404700, and in part by the Hainan Special PhD Scientific Research Foundation of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City under Grant HSPHDSRF-2022-01-005. (Corresponding author: Weidong Zhang.)

The authors are with the Department of Automation, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China, and with the Key Laboratory of System Control and Information Processing, Ministry of Education of China, Shanghai 200240, China. (e-mail: lizhenhuagd@163.com (Z. Li); hongtian.chen@sjtu.edu.cn (H. Chen); wentao-wu@sjtu.edu.cn (W. Wu); wdzhang@sjtu.edu.cn (W. Zhang)).
[6], and the adaptive control [7], [8]. However, the majority of proposed control schemes for vehicle active suspension systems assume the availability of real-time information from sensors, actuators, and controllers.

Currently, due to manufacturing constraints, sensor measurements in vehicle suspension systems may not always be available, leading to unmeasured system states. To overcome the limitations of state feedback control, researchers have explored output feedback control methods for vehicle suspension systems [9]-[12]. In [5], a dynamic output feedback controller was presented for a vehicle active suspension system under the $H_{\infty}$ criteria, employing an event-triggered mechanism. In [9], the problem of output-feedback saturation control was addressed for quarter-car active suspensions using an adaptive neural network algorithm. A sensor fault accommodation strategy was proposed for the vehicle active suspensions by using the output feedback adaptive control in [11]. Based on the critic-actor framework, the optimal outputfeedback controller was designed to solve the optimization for the vehicle active suspension system in [13]. However, the presence of unknown measurement sensitivity errors in sensors is inevitable, as sensors cannot be ideal. Thus, the conventional output feedback control strategies are no longer feasible for the stabilization of the vehicle suspension system. Furthermore, the aforementioned studies focused on full-order state observer-based output feedback control assuming ideal output measurements. With the limited electronic resources, it is expected to develop the output feedback control technique for the vehicle suspension system with the unknown measurement sensitivity through a reduced-order state observer.

Moreover, switched resistance-inductance electromagnetic actuators are valuable alternatives for vehicle active suspension systems due to their simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and enhanced control performance. Hence, the switched vehicle active suspension system comprises a vehicle active suspension system and a switched electromagnetic actuator under a set of switching signals transmitted over the controller area network (CAN) [14]. Designing an appropriate switching signal, including multiple Lyapunov functions, common Lyapunov function, average dwell time (ADT), mode-dependent ADT, and state-dependent switching law [15]-[22], is a crucial issue for switched systems. Since the potential switched actuator faults of vehicle active suspension systems may originate from the data transmission through the CAN and the external disturbance, the fault-tolerant ability of the control strategy for
the vehicle active suspension systems is also an inessential issue to ensure the operation safety [23]-[25]. Especially, actuator faults can severely degrade vehicle performance and contribute to safety accidents [26]. Additionally, the backstepping technique is an effective control design approach to address the actuator fault issue for the vehicle active suspension systems. In [24], the non-fragile fault-tolerant control design was proposed for vehicle suspension active systems by taking into account input quantization. In [25], concerned with faults in the actuator and measurement, the fault-tolerant control was developed for the discrete-time vehicle active suspension based on a reduced-order observer.

With the rapidly increasing requirements in autonomous driving, the CAN, as an advanced communication protocol, produces better communication service in vehicle network tasks. However, it is unavoidable to suffer from time delays due to data transmission through the CAN [1]. Through the vehicle voltage signals, the vehicle intrusion detection systems were proposed under low-delay data transmission in [27]. It is often the case that time delays adversely affect the performance of the system actuator. Sometimes, they can even result in the instabilities of existing control systems [28]. Naturally, some challenging issues are raised as follows: Is it possible to solve the output feedback fault-tolerant control problem for the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system, where the unknown measurement sensitivity from the system output and the input delay from the system actuator occur simultaneously? What should be done to design the proper multiple Lyapunov functions and the dynamic reduced-order observer-based adaptive output feedback controllers when the random road surface excitation takes place under a set of switching signals?

Motivated by these facts, this study focuses on the output feedback fault-tolerant adaptive control with a dynamic switched reduced-order state observer for switched vehicle active suspension delayed systems with the unknown measurement sensitivity. The main contributions of this study are summarised as follows:

1) Different from the conventional full-order state observer techniques [11], [13], the switched reduced-order state observer is designed to estimate unavailable system states and deal with uncertain system parameters with a dynamic switched gain. It significantly reduces the closed-loop system's order, therefore improving computational efficiency and real-time performance.
2) The Nussbaum gain technique is applied to detect the unknown parameters produced via the unknown measurement sensitivity from the system output. For the auxiliary switched system, the effect of the actuator input delay can be weakened via the backstepping approach using the multiple Lyapunov functions.
3) Actuator faults and switched delayed electromagnetic systems are simultaneously considered in the vehicle active suspension systems. The dynamic output-feedback fault-tolerant control scheme is flexibly proposed to address the effects of actuator faults with a set of switching signals satisfying ADT.

In light of the current state of the art, the presented control scheme is discussed in more detail below. For vehicle active suspension delayed systems or non-switched ones, this paper takes the more general vehicle active suspension systems with input delays into account, while none of [4], [11], [29] considered actuator faults and unknown measurement sensitivity simultaneously. At present, for vehicle active suspension systems, the pioneers have developed a lot of state-feedback control strategies [2], [5], [7], while a few researchers have presented the output-feedback control method to estimate the unmeasurable states [10], [12]. However, when the vehicle active suspension systems have input delays and actuator faults under a switching signal, most of the existing control methods will no longer be suitable. Therefore, how to design an outputfeedback controller to alleviate the impacts of input delays and actuator faults and to guarantee system stabilization is the motivation for this paper.

The remainder of this study is arranged as follows. Section II mainly includes the system description and the related knowledge. The control strategy and stability analysis are presented in Section III. Section IV demonstrates the effectiveness and flexibility of the proposed control scheme. The conclusions are drawn in Section V.

## II. System Description

## A. Switched Vehicle Active Suspension Delayed Systems

According to [4], [10], the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system over the CAN is depicted in Fig. 1. In fact, time delays often occur through the CAN. Inspired by [30], [31], the switched delayed electromagnetic system, as a typical hybrid system, has excellent performance under a suitable switching signal. Here, the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system consists of a vehicle active suspension system and a switched delayed electromagnetic actuator under a set of switching signals. Based on Newton's law and Kirchhoff's circuit law, the systems' dynamic differential equations are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{v} \ddot{D}_{s}=-F_{a}-F_{s}+F_{U e},  \tag{1}\\
M_{u} \ddot{D}_{w}=F_{a}+F_{s}-F_{w r}-F_{U e}, \\
u_{\sigma(t)}(t-\tau(t))=R_{\sigma(t)} i+L_{\sigma(t)} i,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where a switching signal $\sigma(t)$ is a piecewise right continuous function with $\bar{k} \geq 2$ being the number of subsystems satisfying $\sigma(t):[0, \infty) \rightarrow \Gamma=\{1, \ldots, \bar{k}\}$. During the time interval $\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$, the $\bar{k}_{j}$-th subsystem is active with the switching time instant $t_{j}$. The sprung mass and the unsprung mass are denoted as $M_{v}$ and $M_{u}$, respectively. $D_{s}$ and $D_{w}$ express the absolute displacement of the sprung mass and the unsprung mass, respectively. $D_{r}$ denotes the random road surface excitation. $F_{a}$ and $F_{w r}$ are the elastic forces, which are produced by the stiffness coefficients of the sprung mass and unsprung mass, respectively. $F_{s}$ is the damping force produced by the stiffness coefficient of the sprung mass. Meanwhile, the output forces are denoted as $F_{a}=c_{a}\left(D_{s}-D_{w}\right), F_{s}=c_{s}\left(\dot{D}_{s}-\dot{D}_{w}\right)$, and $F_{w r}=F_{w}+F_{r}$ with $F_{w}=c_{w}\left(D_{w}-D_{r}\right)$ and $F_{r}=c_{r}\left(\dot{D}_{w}-\dot{D}_{r}\right)$, where $c_{a}, c_{w}$ and $c_{s}, c_{r}$ are the stiffness coefficients and the damping coefficients, respectively. The


Fig. 1: The framework of switched vehicle active suspension delayed systems over the CAN.
back electromotive force, $F_{U e}$, is produced by the voltage $U_{e}$ and is given by $F_{U e}=\frac{2 \pi T_{e}}{P_{e}}$. In addition, $T_{e}=c_{e} i$ is the output torque of the permanent magnet motor, where $c_{e}$ denotes the equivalent torque and $i$ stands for the current. $P_{e}$ expresses the guidance in the switched electromagnetic actuator. For $k \in \Gamma, u_{k}(t-\tau(t))=U_{s}-U_{e}$ is the input signal through the transmission delays over the CAN, where $U_{s}$ is the input voltage. $\tau(t)$ is the unknown time-varying delay. $L_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ denote the inductance and resistance of the switched electromagnetic actuator, respectively. In this case, $\Upsilon\left(t_{0}\right)=U_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)$ for $k \in \Gamma$ represents the input initial value at $t_{0} \in[-\tau, 0]$.

Define the state variables as $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]^{\top}$ with $x_{1}=$ $D_{s}-D_{w}, x_{2}=\dot{D}_{s}-\dot{D}_{w}$, and $x_{3}=\frac{2 \pi c_{e} M}{P_{e}} i$, where $M=\frac{1}{M_{v}}+\frac{1}{M_{u}}$. In the actual situation, due to manufacturing reasons, the sensors cannot be ideal such that an unknown measurement sensitivity in the system output $y$ exists. In addition, the system output $y$ stands for the suspension space with unknown measurement sensitivity, i.e., the displacement bias between the sprung and unsprung mass. Then, the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system is represented as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}=x_{2}  \tag{2}\\
\dot{x}_{2}=x_{3}+f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\vartheta h(y)+\frac{1}{M_{u}} F_{w r} \\
\dot{x}_{3}=g_{\sigma(t)} u_{\sigma(t)}(t-\tau(t))+f_{3, \sigma(t)}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
y=\rho x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that during $t \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$, one gets $\sigma(t)=k \in \Gamma$, where $t_{j}$ and $t_{j+1}$ are the time instant. $u_{\sigma(t)}(t-\tau(t))$ is the delayed actuator input. $\rho$ is the completely unknown measurement sensitivity constant from the system output sensor satisfying $\rho \neq 0$ (its sign and size are both unknown). $f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=-M c_{s} x_{2}$ and $f_{3, \sigma(t)}\left(x_{3}\right)=-\frac{R_{\sigma(t)}}{L_{\sigma(t)}} x_{3}$ are known functions. $\vartheta=-\frac{c_{a}}{\rho}$ is a uncertain parameter with unknown constants $c_{a}$ and $\rho . h(y)=M y$ is a known smooth function
vector, $g_{\sigma(t)}=\frac{2 \pi c_{e} M}{P_{e} L_{\sigma(t)}}$ is an unknown positive parameter with the unknown constants $c_{e}$ and $P_{e}$.

In practice, the complex road surface excitation can fail the switched delayed electromagnetic actuator in (2). For $k \in \Gamma$, the fault model of the $k$-th addressed actuator input is considered as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(t)=\hbar_{k} v_{k}(t)+b_{k}(t), \forall t>t_{s} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hbar_{k} \in[0,1]$ represents the unknown failure factor, $v_{k}(t)$ is the actual control input, $b(t)$ is an unknown bias fault satisfying $\left|b_{k}(t)\right| \leq \bar{b}_{k}$ with its upper bound $\bar{b}_{k}$, and $t_{s}$ is the unknown time instant when the failure occurs. The actuator failure can be categorized into three patterns for $k \in \Gamma$ :

1) If $\hbar_{k}=1$ and $b_{k}(t)=0$, then $u_{k}(t)=v_{k}(t)$, indicating that the $k$-th actuator works normally.
2) If $0<\hbar_{k}<1$ and $b_{k}(t)=0$, then $u_{k}(t)=\hbar_{k} v_{k}(t)$, implying that the $k$-th actuator loses partial effectiveness.
3) If $\hbar_{k}=0$ and $b_{k}(t) \neq 0$, then $u_{k}(t)=b_{k}(t)$, describing that the total effectiveness of the $k$-th actuator is lost.
Our control objective is to develop a dynamic reduced-order switched state observer-based output feedback fault-tolerant control scheme for switched vehicle active suspension delayed systems with unknown measurement sensitivities. The relative vertical displacement, the vertical velocity, and the electric current intensity can be stabilized by the proposed controller under a set of switching signals with ADT. Meanwhile, the ride comfort and driving safety for passengers can be improved regardless of any switched electromagnetic actuator input delays and failures.

Assumption 1 [6]: The uninterrupted contact from wheels to the road surface $D_{w}-D_{r}$ and its first order derivatives $\dot{D}_{w}-\dot{D}_{r}$ are continuous and bounded, satisfying $\left|D_{w}-D_{r}\right| \leq$ $D_{1}$ and $\left|\dot{D}_{w}-\dot{D}_{r}\right| \leq D_{2}$.

Remark 1: Assumption 1 implies that the states $D_{w}$ and $D_{r}$ of the active suspension system are bounded due to the physical limitations of power capacity and structure in vehicle
systems from wheels to the road surface. It is commonly used when focusing on the stabilization for the active suspension system [9], [11], [13].

Definition 1 [20]: For the switched systems, a switching signal $\sigma(t)$ satisfying an $\operatorname{ADT} \tau_{a}>0$ holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\sigma}(T, t) \leq N_{0}+\frac{T-t}{\tau_{a}}, \forall T \geq t \geq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\sigma}(T, t)$ stands for the switching numbers on the time interval $[t, T]$ and $N_{0}>0$ is denoted as the chatter bounds.

Lemma 1 [32]: For $k \in \Gamma$, a symmetric matrix $P_{k}>0$ and positive numbers $\nu_{k}, \varrho_{k}, l_{2, k}$ and $l_{3, k}$ exist such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{k}^{\top} P_{k}+P_{k} A_{k} \leq-\nu_{k} P_{k}  \tag{5}\\
-\varrho_{k} P_{k} \leq B P_{k}+P_{k} B \leq \varrho_{k} P_{k} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-l_{2, k} & 1 \\ -l_{3, k} & 0\end{array}\right]$ is a Hurwitz matrix and $B=$ $\operatorname{diag}\{0,1\}$.

## B. Nussbaum Gain Technique Properties

Without a priori regarding the control gains, the Nussbaum gain technique will be employed to design the auxiliary subsystems in this paper. The Nussbaum gain function $\mathcal{N}(\chi)$ has two properties satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{N}(\chi) d \chi=+\infty  \tag{7a}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{N}(\chi) d \chi=-\infty \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2 [33], [34]: Let $V(t) \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{N}(\chi)$ be the smooth functions over the time interval $\left[0, t_{f}\right)$. For Nussbaum gain functions $\mathcal{N}(\chi)$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \leq \pi_{0}+e^{-a t} \int_{0}^{t} \epsilon(s)(\mathcal{N}(\chi(s))+1) \dot{\chi}(s) e^{a s} d s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{0}$ and $a$ are positive parameters, $t \in\left[0, t_{f}\right)$, and $\epsilon(\cdot)$ is a time-varying parameter satisfying $0<\underline{\epsilon} \leq|\epsilon(\cdot)| \leq \bar{\epsilon}$ with the unknown constants $\underline{\epsilon}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}$ such that $\int_{0}^{t} \epsilon(s)(\mathcal{N}(\chi(s))-$ 1) $\dot{\chi}(s) e^{a s} d s, \chi(t)$, and $V(t)$ are bounded over the time interval $\left[0, t_{f}\right)$.

Lemma 3 [35]: For the positive constant $t_{f}$ on the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{f}\right)$, if the solution of the closed-loop system is verified to be bounded, then one gets $t_{f}=+\infty$.

## C. Radial Basis Function-Based Neural Network

The radial basis function-based neural network is considered as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{N N}(X)=W^{\top} S(X) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the weight vector $W=\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\ell}\right]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ contains $\ell$ nodes whose number is greater than one, and its basic function vector $S(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ contains the input vector $X$. Meanwhile, the neural network can approximate the unknown continuous function satisfying with the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}(X)=W^{* \top} S(X)+\delta(X) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2: The schematic of the neural network's estimation of unknown nonlinear functions.
where $X=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\delta(X)$ is the approximation error satisfying $|\delta(X)| \leq \delta^{*}$ with any given positive constant $\delta^{*}$. In addition, $W^{*}=\left[w_{1}^{*}, \ldots, w_{\ell}^{*}\right]^{\top} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ demonstrates an ideal weight vector. Consider $S(X)=$ $\left[s_{1}(X), \ldots, s_{\ell}(X)\right]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ with the Gaussian functions $s_{i}(X)$ as follows for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}(X)=\exp \left[\frac{-\left(X-\vartheta_{i}\right)^{\top}\left(X-\vartheta_{i}\right)}{\iota^{2}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota$ is the width of the Gaussian function and $\vartheta_{i}=$ $\left[\vartheta_{i 1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{i n}\right]^{\top}$ is the center. The schematic of the neural network's estimation of unknown nonlinear functions is shown in Fig. 2. For convenience, $\bar{f}(X)$ is denoted as $\bar{f}(X)=$ $W^{* \top} S+\delta$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{*}:=\arg \min _{W \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}}\left\{\sup _{X \in \Omega}\left|\bar{f}(X)-\bar{f}_{N N}(X)\right|\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. Main Results

By employing the multiple Lyapunov functions, for the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system (1), this section develops an adaptive output-feedback fault-tolerant control scheme with a dynamic reduced-order switched state observer-based via the backstepping method, and demonstrates the stability analysis of the closed-loop system under ADT.

## A. Dynamic Reduced-Order Switched State Observer

Together with the inaccurate output information $y$ and the delayed actuator input $u_{k}(t-\tau(t))$ in (2), the dynamic reduced-order switched state observer is designed to deal with the uncertain parameter $\vartheta$ as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{i}=\phi_{i}+l_{i, k} r^{i-1} y, i=2,3,  \tag{13}\\
\dot{\phi}_{2}=\xi_{3}+f_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-l_{2, k} r \xi_{2}-l_{2, k} \dot{r} y, \\
\dot{\phi}_{3}=f_{3, k}\left(\xi_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \xi_{2}-2 l_{3, k} \dot{r} y, \\
\dot{\varphi}_{2}=\varphi_{3}+f_{2}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)+h(y)-l_{2, k} r \varphi_{2}, \\
\dot{\varphi}_{3}=f_{3, k}\left(\varphi_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \varphi_{2}, \\
\dot{\gamma}_{2}=\gamma_{3}+f_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-l_{2, k} r \gamma_{2}, \\
\dot{\gamma}_{3}=u_{k}(t-\tau(t))+f_{3, k}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \gamma_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where for $k \in \Gamma, \xi=\left[\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right]^{\top}, \varphi=\left[\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right]^{\top}$ and $\gamma=$ $\left[\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right]^{\top}$ are the state variables of the switched reduced-order state observer (13), produced by the measurable states $y$ and $u_{k}(t-\tau(t)) . f_{2}\left(*_{2}\right)$ and $f_{3, k}\left(*_{3}\right)$ with $*=\xi, \varphi, \gamma$ are the same definition in (2). $r$ is a dynamic switched gain to be designed later with $r(0)=1$.

To proceed with, based on the state variables $\xi, \varphi$ and $\gamma$ in (13), the estimated states for the system (2) are constructed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{x}_{2}=\frac{1}{\rho} \xi_{2}+\vartheta \varphi_{2}+g_{k} \gamma_{2}  \tag{14}\\
\hat{x}_{3}=\frac{1}{\rho} \xi_{3}+\vartheta \varphi_{3}+g_{k} \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\hat{x}=\left[\hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}\right]^{\top}$, where $\hat{x}_{i}$ for $i=2,3$ are the estimation of $x_{i}$. Then, the error of the reduced-order state observer estimator is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}=\left(x_{i}-\hat{x}_{i}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{\varepsilon}=\left[\tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}\right]^{\top}$. Based on (2) and (13)-(15), one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_{2}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}+f_{2}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}\right)-l_{2, k} r \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}+\frac{1}{M_{u}} F_{w r}  \tag{16}\\
\dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_{3}=f_{3, k}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, the scaling transformation for the estimated error dynamics system is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i}=r^{2-i-\varrho_{k}} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}, \quad i=2,3 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varepsilon=\left[\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right]^{\top}$, where $\varrho_{k}$ is defined in Lemma 1. Based on (16) and (17), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varepsilon}=r A_{k} \varepsilon-\frac{\dot{r}}{r} B_{k} \varepsilon-\varrho_{k} \frac{\dot{r}}{r} \varepsilon+F_{1, k}+F_{2, k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}$ and $B_{k}$ are defined in Lemma $1, F_{1, k}=$ $\left[r^{-\varrho_{k}} f_{2}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}\right), r^{-1-\varrho_{k}} f_{3, k}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}\right)\right]^{\top}=\left[-M c_{s} \varepsilon_{2},-\frac{R_{k}}{L_{k}} \varepsilon_{3}\right]^{\top}$, and $F_{2, k}=\left[\frac{r^{-\varrho_{k}}}{M_{u}} F_{w r}, 0\right]^{\top}$. The Lyapunov candidate function is considered as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the time derivative of $V_{\varepsilon}$ along with the estimated error dynamics system (18) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{\varepsilon}= & r \varepsilon^{\top}\left(A_{k}^{\top} P_{k}+P_{k} A_{k}\right) \varepsilon-\frac{\dot{r}}{r} \varepsilon^{\top}\left(B P_{k}+P_{k} B+\varrho_{k} P_{k}\right) \varepsilon \\
& +2 \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k}\left(F_{1, k}+F_{2, k}\right)-\varrho_{k} \frac{\dot{r}}{r} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on (2), (17), and Young's inequality, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} F_{1, k} & \leq \bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right) \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\left\|F_{1, k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right) \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+M^{2} c_{s}^{2} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}+\frac{R_{k}^{2}}{L_{k}^{2}} \varepsilon_{3}^{2} \\
& \leq \bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right) \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\frac{M^{2} c_{s}^{2}}{\underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\frac{R_{k}^{2} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon}{L_{k}^{2} \underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} F_{2, k} \leq \bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right) \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\left\|F_{2, k}\right\|^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)\left(\underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)\right)$ stand for the largest (smallest) eigenvalue of the matrix $P_{k}$ for $k \in \Gamma$, respectively. According to (21), (22), and Lemma 1, one gets

$$
\dot{V}_{\varepsilon} \leq-r \nu_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon-\frac{\dot{r}}{r} \varepsilon^{\top}\left(B P_{k}+P_{k} B+\varrho_{k} P_{k}\right) \varepsilon+\left\|F_{2, k}\right\|^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\psi_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right) \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon-\varrho_{k} \frac{\dot{r}}{r} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{k}=\bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)+\frac{M^{2} c_{s}^{2}}{\underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)}+\frac{R_{k}^{2}}{L_{k}^{2} \underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)}$. Meanwhile, the dynamic switched gain $r(t)$ is designed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}(t)=\max _{k \in \Gamma}\left\{-\frac{r}{\varrho_{k}}\left(\omega_{k} r-\psi_{k}-\bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)-\frac{\varsigma}{\underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)}\right), 0\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{k}<\nu_{k}$ and $\varsigma$ are positive parameters and $r(0)=1$. Inspired by [36], it can be deduced from (24) that $r$ and $\dot{r}$ are bounded satisfying $1 \leq r \leq \bar{r}$, where the constant $\bar{r}$ is the upper bound of $r$. In addition, based on (1) and Assumption 1, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F_{2, k}\right\|^{2} & =\frac{\bar{r}^{-2 \varrho_{k}}}{M_{u}^{2}} F_{w r}^{2}=\frac{\bar{r}^{-2 \varrho_{k}}}{M_{u}^{2}}\left(F_{w}+F_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\bar{r}^{-2 \varrho_{k}}}{M_{u}^{2}}\left[2 c_{w}^{2}\left(D_{w}-D_{r}\right)^{2}+2 c_{r}^{2}\left(\dot{D}_{w}-\dot{D}_{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{2 \bar{r}^{-2 \varrho_{k}}}{M_{u}^{2}}\left(c_{w}^{2} D_{1}^{2}+c_{r}^{2} D_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting (24) and (25) into (23), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V}_{\varepsilon} \leq-\underline{\omega}_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon-\varsigma\|\varepsilon\|^{2}+\delta_{0, k} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{\omega}_{k}$ and $\delta_{0, k}$ are positive constants defined as $\underline{\omega}_{k}=$ $\nu_{k}-\omega_{k}$ and $\delta_{0, k}=\frac{2 \bar{r}^{-2 \varrho_{k}}}{M_{u}^{2}}\left(c_{w}^{2} D_{1}^{2}+c_{r}^{2} D_{2}^{2}\right)$, respectively.

## B. Output Feedback Fault-Tolerant Controller Design

Firstly, an auxiliary switched system is designed to compensate for the influence of the actuator input delay as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\varpi}_{i}=\varpi_{i+1}-d_{i, \sigma(t)} \varpi_{i}, \quad i=1,2  \tag{27}\\
\dot{\varpi}_{3}=-d_{3, \sigma(t)} \varpi_{3}+u_{\sigma(t)}(t-\tau(t))-u_{\sigma(t)}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is a switching signal. $\varpi_{i}$ and $d_{i, \sigma(t)}$ for $i=1,2,3$ are the auxiliary switched system states and positive designed parameters, respectively. In addition, according to the backstepping approach, the following changes of a coordinate for all subsystems are defined as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{1}=y-\varpi_{1}  \tag{28}\\
z_{i}=\gamma_{i}-\alpha_{i-1}-\varpi_{i}, \quad i=2,3
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$ is the errors of the coordinate transformation and $\alpha_{i-1}$ for $i=2,3$ stands for the virtual control inputs. As a matter of convenience, the unknown ideal value is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}^{*}=\max _{k \in M}\left\{\left\|W_{i, k}^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n,{\underset{\sim}{\theta}}_{i, k}^{*}$ stands for the ideal constant $\tilde{\theta}_{i}$ weight vector; meanwhile, $\tilde{\theta}_{i}$ is the estimation error satisfying $\tilde{\theta}_{i}=\theta_{i}^{*}-\hat{\theta}_{i}$, and $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ is the estimation of $\theta_{i}^{*}$. Then, the detailed design process of the control scheme is shown in the following steps.

Initial Step: Based on (2), (14), (15), (17), and (27), one gets

$$
\dot{z}_{1}=\rho\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}+\frac{1}{\rho} \xi_{2}+\vartheta \varphi_{2}+g_{k} \gamma_{2}\right)-\varpi_{2}+d_{1, k} \varpi_{1}
$$

The Lyapunov function is considered as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1, k}=\frac{1}{2} z_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \ell_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\top} \tilde{\theta}_{1}+V_{\varepsilon} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $k \in \Gamma, \ell_{1}$ is a positive constant. To proceed with (28), one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{1, k}= & \rho z_{1}\left[\tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}+\frac{1}{\rho} \xi_{2}+\vartheta \varphi_{2}+g_{k}\left(z_{2}+\alpha_{1}+\varpi_{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\varpi_{2} z_{1}+d_{1, k} \varpi_{1} z_{1}-\frac{1}{\ell_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\top} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1}+\dot{V}_{\varepsilon} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

By using Young's inequality and (17), it can be obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho z_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2} \leq \frac{1}{4 \varsigma} \rho^{2} r^{2 \varrho_{k}} z_{1}^{2}+\varsigma\|\varepsilon\|^{2} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\bar{f}_{1, k}\left(X_{1}\right)=\rho\left(\frac{1}{4 \varsigma_{1}} \rho r^{2 \varrho_{k}} z_{1}+\vartheta \varphi_{2}\right)+\xi_{2}+\left(\rho g_{k}-1\right) \varpi_{2}$ is denoted as the uncertain continuous function with $X_{1}=$ $\left[z_{1}, r, \xi_{2}, \varphi_{2}, \varpi_{2}\right]^{\top}$. Based on (10)-(12), it induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{1, k}\left(X_{1}\right)=W_{1, k}^{* \top} S_{1}+\delta_{1, k} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{1, k}$ is the approximation error with $\left|\delta_{1, k}\right|<\delta_{1}^{*}$ and $\delta_{1}^{*}$ is a positive constant. Based on (29), (33), and Young's inequality, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1} \bar{f}_{1, k}\left(X_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 a_{1}^{2}} z_{1}^{2} \theta_{1}^{*} S_{1}^{\top} S_{1}+\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}^{* 2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ is a positive constant. Since $\rho$ and $g_{k}$ are respectively the unknown measurement sensitivity and the unknown control gain term, it is difficult to handle with the existing typical backstepping approach. Thus, a Nussbaum gain $\mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{1}\right)$ is utilized to deal with the issue from the unknown coefficients in the initial step. Furthermore, the virtual controller input $\alpha_{1}$ and the adaptive law $\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1}$ can be considered as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{1}\right)\left(c_{1} z_{1}+\Xi_{1}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1}=\frac{\ell_{1}}{2 a_{1}^{2}} z_{1}^{2} S_{1}^{\top} S_{1}-\kappa_{1} \hat{\theta}_{1} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Xi_{1}=\frac{1}{2 a_{1}^{2}} z_{1} \hat{\theta}_{1} S_{1}^{\top} S_{1}+\frac{1}{2} z_{1}+d_{1, k} \varpi_{1}$, where $c_{1}$ and $\kappa_{1}$ are positive constants. Meanwhile, the related control designs using the Nussbaum-type technique are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{1}\right)=e^{\chi_{1}^{2}} \cos \chi_{1}, \quad \dot{\chi_{1}}=c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\Xi_{1} z_{1} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (48)-(37) into (31) obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{1, k} \leq & -\underline{\omega}_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\frac{\kappa_{1}}{\ell_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\top} \hat{\theta}_{1}-c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\Theta_{1, k} \\
& +\left(\rho g_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{1}\right)+1\right) \dot{\chi}_{1}+\rho g_{k} z_{1} z_{2}, \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta_{1, k}=\delta_{0, k}+\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}^{* 2}$.
Step 2: Based on (13), (27), and (28), one has

$$
\dot{z}_{2}=\gamma_{3}+f_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-l_{2, k} r \gamma_{2}-\dot{\alpha}_{1}-\varpi_{3}+d_{2, k} \varpi_{2}
$$

The Lyapunov function can be constructed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2, k}=\frac{1}{2} z_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \ell_{2}} \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\top} \tilde{\theta}_{2}+V_{1, k} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{2}$ is a positive parameter. Furthermore, it is deduced from (28) that

$$
\dot{V}_{2, k}=z_{2}\left(z_{3}+\alpha_{2}+f_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-l_{2, k} r \gamma_{2}-\dot{\alpha}_{1}+d_{2, k} \varpi_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\ell_{2}} \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\top} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2}+\dot{V}_{1, k} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the initial step, the uncertain functions are considered as $\bar{f}_{2, k}\left(X_{2}\right)=f_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-l_{2, k} r \gamma_{2}-\dot{\alpha}_{1}+\rho g_{k} z_{1}$ with $X_{2}=\left[\gamma_{2}, r, \chi_{1}, y, \hat{\theta}_{1}, \varpi_{1}\right]^{\top}$ and $\dot{\alpha}_{1}=\frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \chi_{1}} \dot{\chi}_{1}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \dot{y}+$ $\frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \hat{\theta}_{1}} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \varpi_{1}} \dot{\varpi}_{1}$. Based on (10)-(12), the neural network approximation is satisfied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{2, k}\left(X_{2}\right)=W_{2, k}^{* \top} S_{2}+\delta_{2, k} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the error of approximation $\delta_{2, k}$ is satisfied by $\left|\delta_{2, k}\right|<$ $\delta_{2}^{*}$ with positive parameter $\delta_{2}^{*}$.

Through Young's inequality, (29), and (41), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2} \bar{f}_{2, k}\left(X_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 a_{2}^{2}} z_{2}^{2} \theta_{2}^{*} S_{2}^{\top} S_{2}+\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{2}^{* 2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}$ is the positive designed parameter. Meanwhile, the virtual controller input $\alpha_{2}$ and the adaptive law $\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2}$ are considered as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2}=-c_{2} z_{2}-\Xi_{2}  \tag{43}\\
& \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2}=\frac{\ell_{2}}{2 a_{2}^{2}} z_{2}^{2} S_{2}^{\top} S_{2}-\kappa_{2} \hat{\theta}_{2} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Xi_{2}=\frac{1}{2 a_{2}^{2}} z_{2} \hat{\theta}_{2} S_{2}^{\top} S_{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{2}+d_{2, k} \varpi_{2}$, where $c_{2}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are positive constants.

Substituting (41)-(44) into (40) obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{2, k} \leq & -\underline{\omega}_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \hat{\theta}_{i}-c_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right)+\Theta_{2, k} \\
& +\left(\rho g_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{1}\right)+1\right) \dot{\chi_{1}}+z_{2} z_{3} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta_{2, k}=\Theta_{1, k}+\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{2}^{* 2}$.
Step 3: From (3), (13), (27), and (28), one can obtain

$$
\dot{z}_{3}=f_{3, k}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \gamma_{2}-\dot{\alpha}_{2}+d_{3, k} \varpi_{3}+\hbar_{k} v_{k}(t)+b_{k}(t)
$$

Let the Lyapunov function candidate be

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3, k}=\frac{1}{2} z_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \ell_{3}} \tilde{\theta}_{3}^{\top} \tilde{\theta}_{3}+V_{2, k} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{3}$ is a positive constant. Similar to the procedure from (31) to (38), it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{3, k}= & z_{3}\left(f_{3, k}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \gamma_{2}-\dot{\alpha}_{2}+d_{3, k} \varpi_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\hbar_{k} v_{k}(t)+b_{k}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{\ell_{3}} \tilde{\theta}_{3}^{\top} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{3}+\dot{V}_{2, k} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

By utilizing Young's inequality and (3), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{3} b_{k}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} z_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{b}_{k}^{2} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed, $\bar{f}_{3, k}\left(X_{3}\right)=f_{3, k}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)-l_{3, k} r^{2} \gamma_{2}-\dot{\alpha}_{2}+$ $z_{2}$ is expressed as the uncertain function with $X_{3}=$ $\left[\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, r, \chi_{1}, y, \hat{\theta}_{1}, \hat{\theta}_{2}, \varpi_{2}, \varpi_{3}\right]^{\top}$ and $\dot{\alpha}_{2}=\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \chi_{1}} \dot{\chi}_{1}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} \dot{y}+$ $\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \gamma_{2}} \dot{\gamma}_{2}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \hat{\theta}_{1}} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{2}} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \varpi_{1}} \dot{\varpi}_{1}+\frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \varpi_{2}} \dot{\varpi}_{2}$. Based on (10)(12), the neural network approximation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{3, k}\left(X_{n}\right)=W_{3, k}^{* \top} S_{3}+\delta_{3, k} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{3, k}$ is the approximation error and a positive constant $\delta_{3}^{*}$ is satisfied by $\left|\delta_{3, k}\right|<\delta_{3}^{*}$. Based on Young's inequality, (29), and (49), it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{3} \bar{f}_{3, k}\left(X_{3}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 a_{3}^{2}} z_{3}^{2} \theta_{3}^{*} S_{3}^{\top} S_{3}+\frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{3}^{* 2}, \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{3}$ is a positive parameter.
Then, a Nussbaum gain $\mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{3}\right)$ is utilized to deal with the unknown coefficients $\hbar_{k}$. To proceed with, the actual controller input $v_{k}$ and the adaptive laws $\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{3}$ can be considered as

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{k}=\mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{3}\right)\left(c_{3} z_{3}+\Xi_{3}\right)  \tag{51}\\
& \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{3}=\frac{\ell_{1}}{2 a_{3}^{2}} z_{3}^{2} S_{3}^{\top} S_{3}-\kappa_{3} \hat{\theta}_{3} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Xi_{3}=\frac{1}{2 a_{3}^{2}} z_{3} \hat{\theta}_{3} S_{3}^{\top} S_{3}+\frac{1}{2} z_{3}+d_{3, k} \varpi_{3}$, where $c_{3}$ and $\kappa_{3}$ are positive constants. Meanwhile, the related control designs using the Nussbaum-type technique are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{3}\right)=e^{\chi_{3}^{2}} \cos \chi_{3}, \quad \dot{\chi_{3}}=c_{3} z_{3}^{2}+\Xi_{3} z_{3} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (47)-(53) results in

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{3, k} \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \hat{\theta}_{i}-c_{i} z_{i}^{2}+\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i}\right) \\
& -\underline{\omega}_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\Theta_{3, k} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{1}\right)=\rho g_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{1}\right)+1, \overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{2}\right)=0$ with $\chi_{2}=0$, $\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{3}\right)=\hbar_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(\chi_{3}\right)+1$, and $\Theta_{3, k}=\Theta_{2, k}+\frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{3}^{* 2}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{b}_{k}^{2}$.

## C. Stability Analysis

For notational convenience, the following definitions are used:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu=\min _{k \in \Gamma}\left\{\frac{\underline{\omega}_{k} \underline{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)}{\bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)}, 2 c_{i}, \kappa_{i}, 2 \ell_{i, k}, i=1,2,3\right\},  \tag{55}\\
& \zeta=\max \left\{\frac{\bar{\lambda}\left(P_{k}\right)}{\underline{\lambda}\left(P_{p}\right)}, k, p \in \Gamma\right\} . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $\mu>0$ and $\zeta \geq 1$ are the two known parameters by suitably selecting $P_{k}, \underline{\omega}_{k}, c_{i}, \kappa_{i}$ and $\ell_{i, k}$. The main theorem in this paper is summarized as follows.

Theorem 1: Consider the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system (1) satisfying Assumptions 1. The output feedback fault-tolerant controller (51) with the virtual controllers (35), (43), the dynamic reduced-order switched state observer (13), and the adaptive laws (36), (44), (52) can ensure all the closed-loop signals achieve semi-globally uniformly ultimate bounded. Meanwhile, the system output $y$ with the unknown measurement sensitivity can stay in the small neighbourhood of zero under a set of switching signals with ADT. The block diagram of the proposed control scheme is depicted in Fig. 3.

Proof: The proof is divided into two parts to analyse the boundedness of all the signals in the closed-loop system. In part 1), the semi-global stability is verified for the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system with a set of switching signals satisfying ADT. In part 2), the system output $y$ with the unknown measurement sensitivity is verified to stay in the small origin neighbourhood.


Fig. 3: The block diagram of the proposed control scheme.

1) To analyze the stability of the auxiliary switched system (27), the following Lyapunov function is constructed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varpi}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varpi_{i}^{2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{\varpi}= & \varpi_{3}\left(-d_{3, k} \varpi_{3}+u_{k}(t-\tau(t))-u_{k}(t)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{2} \varpi_{i}\left(\varpi_{i+1}-d_{i, k} \varpi_{i}\right) \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to the result in [37], $\left|u_{k}(t-\tau(t))-u_{k}(t)\right| \leq u_{k}^{*}$ can be approached with a positive constant $u_{k}^{*}$ for $k \in \Gamma$. Then, by using Young's inequality, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{\varpi} & \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i, k} \varpi_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} \varpi_{i} \varpi_{i+1}+\varpi_{3} u_{k}^{*} \\
& \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \ell_{i, k} \varpi_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} u_{k}^{*} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\ell_{i, k}$ for $i=1,2,3$ is a positive parameter satisfying $\ell_{1, k}=d_{1, k}-\frac{1}{2}, \ell_{2, k}=d_{2, k}-1$, and $\ell_{3, k}=d_{3, k}-1$. Here, based on (19), (30), (39), (46), and (57), the following multiple Lyapunov functions for $k \in \Gamma$ are constructed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}(X)=\varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(z_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{\ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \tilde{\theta}_{i}+\varpi_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=\left[\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, \tilde{\theta}_{1}, \tilde{\theta}_{2}, \tilde{\theta}_{3}, \varpi_{1}, \varpi_{2}, \varpi_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right]^{\top}$. It is clear from (60) that two $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ functions $\underline{\alpha}(\|X\|)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(\|X\|)$ exist and hold that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\alpha}(\|X\|) \leq V_{k}(X) \leq \bar{\alpha}(\|X\|) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, based on (56), it induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}(X) \leq \zeta V_{p}(X), k, p \in \Gamma \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it yields

$$
\dot{V}_{k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \hat{\theta}_{i}-c_{i} z_{i}^{2}-\ell_{i, k} \varpi_{i}^{2}+\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\underline{\omega}_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\Theta_{3, k}+\frac{1}{2} u_{k}^{*} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, the terms $\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \hat{\theta}_{i}$ from (63) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \hat{\theta}_{i} \leq \frac{\kappa_{i}}{2 \ell_{i}} \theta_{i}^{* 2}-\frac{\kappa_{i}}{2 \ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \tilde{\theta}_{i} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (63)-(64), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{k} \leq & -\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\kappa_{i}}{2 \ell_{i}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\top} \tilde{\theta}_{i}+c_{i} z_{i}^{2}+\ell_{i, k} \varpi_{i}^{2}-\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i}\right) \\
& -\underline{\omega}_{k} \varepsilon^{\top} P_{k} \varepsilon+\Theta \\
\leq & -\mu V_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i}+\Theta, \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta=\max _{k \in \Gamma}\left\{\Theta_{3, k}+\frac{1}{2} u_{k}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\kappa_{i}}{2 \ell_{i}} \theta_{i}^{* 2}\right\}$.
Let the initial time be $t_{0}=0$. Define the each switching time as $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}$ on the instant $[0, T]$ for $\forall T \geq 0$. Consider the function $\Phi(t)=e^{\mu t} V_{\sigma(t)}(X(t))$. Based on (65), one has $\dot{\Phi}(t) \leq \Theta e^{\mu t}+e^{\mu t} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i}$. On the time instant $\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$, it implies from (62) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(t_{j+1}\right) \leq \zeta\left[\Phi\left(t_{j}\right)+\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \Lambda e^{\mu t} d t\right] \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda=\Theta+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i}$. On the instant $[0, T]$, iterating the inequality (66) from $j=0$ to $j=N_{\sigma}(T, 0)-1$, it induces

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi\left(T^{-}\right) \leq \Phi\left(t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}\right)+\int_{t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}}^{T} \Lambda e^{\mu t} d t \\
\leq & \zeta\left[\Phi\left(t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)-1}\right)+\int_{t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)-1}}^{t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}} \Lambda e^{\mu t} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta^{-1} \int_{t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}}^{T} \Lambda e^{\mu t} d t\right] \leq \cdots \\
\leq & \zeta^{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}\left[\Phi(0)+\sum_{j=0}^{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)-1} \zeta^{-j} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \Lambda e^{\mu t} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta^{-N_{\sigma}(T, 0)} \int_{t_{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)}}^{T} \Lambda e^{\mu t} d t\right] . \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

By utilizing $\tau_{a}>\frac{\log \zeta}{\mu}$, for $\forall a \in\left(0, \mu-\frac{\log \zeta}{\mu}\right)$, one gets $\tau_{a}>$ $\frac{\log \zeta}{\mu-a}$. In addition, based on Definition 1, one has $N_{\sigma}(T, t) \leq$ $N_{0}+\frac{(\mu-a)(T-t)}{\log \zeta}$ for $\forall T \geq t \geq 0$. Thus, under the inequality $N_{\sigma}(T, 0)-j \leq 1+N_{\sigma}\left(T, t_{j+1}\right)$ with $j=0,1, \ldots, N_{\sigma}(T, 0)$, it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)-j} \leq \zeta^{1+N_{0}} e^{(\mu-a)\left(T-t_{j+1}\right)} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for $t \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$, since $a<\mu$, it induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \Theta e^{\mu t} d t \leq e^{(\mu-a) t_{j+1}} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \Theta e^{a t} d t \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \dot{\chi}_{i} e^{\mu t} d t \leq \int_{\chi_{i}\left(t_{j}\right)}^{\chi_{i}\left(t_{j+1}\right)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| e^{\mu t} d \chi_{i} \\
\leq & e^{(\mu-a) t_{j+1}} \int_{\chi_{i}\left(t_{j}\right)}^{\chi_{i}\left(t_{j+1}\right)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| e^{a t} d \chi_{i} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (67)-(70) results in

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(T^{-}\right) \leq & \zeta^{N_{\sigma}(T, 0)} \Phi(0)+\zeta^{1+N_{0}} e^{(\mu-a) T}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \Theta e^{a t} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\chi_{i}(0)}^{\chi_{i}(T)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| e^{a t} d \chi_{i}\right] \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Under the definition of $\Phi(t)$, one obtains for $\forall T>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\sigma\left(T^{-}\right)}\left(X\left(T^{-}\right)\right) \leq e^{N_{0} \log \zeta} e^{\left(\frac{\log \zeta}{\tau_{a}}-\mu\right) T} \bar{\alpha}(\|X\|) \\
& \quad+\zeta^{1+N_{0}}\left(\frac{\Theta}{a}+e^{-a T} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\chi_{i}(0)}^{\chi_{i}(T)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| e^{a t} d \chi_{i}\right) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be concluded that if $\tau_{a}$ satisfies $\tau_{a}>\frac{\log \zeta}{\mu}$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\sigma\left(T^{-}\right)}\left(X\left(T^{-}\right)\right)}{\zeta^{1+N_{0}}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\chi(0)}^{\chi(T)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| d \chi_{i}+\pi_{0} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

based on $0<e^{-a(T-t)} \leq 1$, where $\pi_{0}=$ $\frac{1}{\zeta^{1+N_{0}}}\left[e^{N_{0} \log \zeta} \bar{\alpha}(\|X(0)\|)+\zeta^{1+N_{0}} \frac{\Theta}{a}\right]$. Here, $\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)$ is a Nussbaum gain function. According to Lemma 2, for $\forall T_{f}>0$, the boundeness of $\chi_{i}(T)$ for $i=1,2,3$ can be verified on [ $0, T_{f}$ ). Furthermore, for $\forall T_{f}>0$, one has $V_{\sigma(T)}(X(T))$ and $\int_{\chi(0)}^{\chi(T)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| d \chi_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$ are bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Therefore, it can be concluded that if the ADT satisfies $\tau_{a}>\frac{\ln \zeta}{\mu}$, then $\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \tilde{\theta}_{1}, \tilde{\theta}_{2}, \tilde{\theta}_{3}, \varpi_{1}, \varpi_{2}$, and $\varpi_{3}$ are bounded for any bounded initial values. Together with (17) and (24), $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is bounded. To estimate some terms on the right side of (15), the following proposition is provided for the boundedness of all the signals in the closed-loop switched system, whose proof is placed in the Appendix.

Proposition 1: For the dynamic reduced-order switched state observer (13), under the boundedness of $y, r, \dot{r}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$, the states $\xi, \phi, \varphi, \gamma, \hat{x}$ and $x$ are bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$.

According to Proposition 1, all the signals in the closed-loop switched system are bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Based on Lemma 3, the above discussion is true for $T_{f}=+\infty$. Hence, for the bounded initial conditions, all signals in the closed-loop switched system are bounded under a set of switching signals with ADT satisfying $\tau_{a}>\frac{\ln \zeta}{\mu}$.
2) Denote $\bar{o}_{1}=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| \dot{\chi}_{i}\right\}$. Then, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-a T} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\chi_{i}(0)}^{\chi_{i}(T)}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| e^{a t} d \chi_{i} \\
\leq & e^{-a T} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)\right| e^{a t} \dot{\chi}_{i} d t \\
\leq & \frac{\bar{o}_{1}}{a}\left(1-e^{-a T}\right) \leq \frac{\bar{o}_{1}}{a} . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (72) and (74), one can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} z_{1}^{2}(T) \leq \bar{o}_{2} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{o}_{2}=e^{N_{0} \log \zeta} e^{\left(\frac{\log \zeta}{\tau_{a}}-\mu\right) T} \bar{\alpha}(\|X(0)\|)+\zeta^{1+N_{0}} \frac{\Theta+\bar{o}_{1}}{a}$. Together with $\tau_{a}>\frac{\ln \zeta}{\mu}$ and the definition of $z_{1}$ in (28), it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{2}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z_{1}(t)^{2} \leq \bar{o}_{3} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{o}_{3}=2\left(e^{N_{0} \log \zeta} \bar{\alpha}(\|X(0)\|)+\zeta^{1+N_{0}} \frac{\Theta+\bar{o}_{1}}{a}\right)$. It is clear from (76) that the system output with the unknown measurement sensitivity can stay in a small neighbourhood of zero by choosing appropriately designed parameters. The proof has been completed.

Remark 2: A guideline from Steps I to IV for the proposed output-feedback control scheme is given to clarify the parameter design.

Step I: Select the proper parameters $l_{2, k}$ and $l_{3, k}$, such that the matrix $A_{k}$ is Hurwitz. Choose the proper parameters $\nu_{k}$ and $\varrho_{k}$. Then, a symmetric matrix $P_{k}>0$ can be calculated by solving the inequalities (5) and (6).

Step II: Decide the number of neural network nodes and Gaussian functions, then determine the neural network (10).

Step III: Select appropriate controller parameters $\omega_{k}, \varsigma, c_{i}$, $\ell_{i}, a_{i}, \kappa_{i}$, and $d_{i, k}$, for $i=1,2,3$ and $k \in \Gamma$, and then determine the controllers (35), (43), and (51) with the adaptive laws (36), (44), and (52).

Step IV: Determine the designed parameters of ADT $\mu>0$ in (55) and $\zeta \geq 1$ in (56).

## IV. Case Studies

This section provides the case studies to show the flexibility and effectiveness of the proposed adaptive output feedback fault-tolerant control scheme on the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system.

Here, the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system consists of two subsystems, where $\sigma(t):[0, \infty) \rightarrow \Gamma=$ $\{1,2\}$. The parameters of the switched vehicle active suspension delayed system are chosen as $M_{v}=350 \mathrm{~kg}, M_{u}=40 \mathrm{~kg}$, $c_{s}=1000 \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{m}, c_{w}=200000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, c_{r}=100 \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{m}$, $R_{1}=30 \Omega, R_{2}=28 \Omega, L_{1}=40 \mathrm{H}$, and $L_{2}=35 \mathrm{H}$. In addition, the unknown system parameter is selected as $c_{a}=20000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, c_{e}=20, P_{e}=2, \tau(t)=0.1\left(1+\sin ^{2}(t)\right)$, and $\rho=0.8$. Then, the parameters of the controller are selected as $l_{2,1}=20, l_{3,1}=10, l_{2,2}=18, l_{3,2}=15, \nu_{1}=2, \varrho_{1}=10$, $\nu_{2}=2.2, \varrho_{2}=8, \omega_{1}=1, \omega_{2}=1.2, \varsigma=0.5, c_{1}=0.2$, $c_{2}=0.2, c_{3}=0.2, \ell_{1}=0.1, \ell_{2}=0.1, \ell_{3}=0.1, a_{1}=0.5$, $a_{2}=0.2, a_{3}=0.5, \kappa_{1}=5, \kappa_{2}=5, \kappa_{3}=5, d_{1, k}=0.6$, $d_{2, k}=1.1$, and $d_{3, k}=1.1$ for $k \in \Gamma$. Meanwhile, based on Lemma 1, the symmetric positive definite matrices can be obtained as follows:

$$
P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1.152 & -0.265 \\
-0.265 & 0.449
\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1.149 & -0.230 \\
-0.230 & 0.399
\end{array}\right]
$$

To proceed, the basis function vectors $S_{1}\left(X_{1}\right), S_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)$, $S_{3}\left(X_{3}\right)$ contain 15,22 , and 30 nodes, and their centres $\vartheta_{1}$, $\vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{3}$ evenly spaced in $[-2,2] \times[-2,2] \times[-7,5] \times[-8,6] \times$ $[-4,7],[-2,2] \times[-8,8] \times[-3,3] \times[-7,5] \times[-2,7] \times[-6,5]$, $[-11,5] \times[-2,2] \times[-7,5] \times[-8,6] \times[-4,7] \times[-5,7]$ and widths $\iota_{1}=2, \iota_{2}=2.5, \iota_{3}=4$, respectively. And the faults of the switched delayed electromagnetic actuator are modelled


Fig. 4: The suspension space $x_{1}$ of Cases 1-3.


Fig. 5: The relative vertical velocity $x_{2}$ of Cases 1-3.
as

$$
u_{k}= \begin{cases}\hbar v_{k}+b_{1, k}(t), & 1 \leq t \leq 2  \tag{77}\\ \hbar v_{k}+b_{2, k}(t), & 5 \leq t \leq 8 \\ \hbar v_{k}+b_{3, k}(t), & 15 \leq t \leq 20 \\ \hbar v_{k}, & \text { others }\end{cases}
$$

where $\hbar=0.5, b_{1, k}(t)=0.03 \sin (4 \pi t), \quad b_{2, k}(t)=$ $0.2 \cos (3 \pi t)$, and $b_{3, k}(t)=0.01 \sin (6 \pi t)$ for $k \in \Gamma$.

## A. Performances of the Proposed Control Strategy

Derived from Theorem 1, all the signals are bounded in the resulting closed-loop system under a set of switching signals with ADT satisfying $\tau_{a}=6.31>\frac{\ln 3.53}{0.20}$. The initial vectors are provided as $x_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.02, x_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.04, x_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.02$, $\hat{\theta}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.05, \hat{\theta}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.1, \hat{\theta}_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.3, r\left(t_{0}\right)=1$, $\chi_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.02, \chi_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)=0.01$, and others are chosen as zero. In order to test the proposed control strategy, three cases are considered as follows:

Case 1: Consider the vehicle driving on the even ground, i.e., $D_{r}=0$ and the boundedness conditions of the uninterrupted contact from wheels to the road surface are selected as $D_{1}=0.001$ and $D_{2}=0.001$;


Fig. 6: The electric current intensity $x_{3}$ of Cases 1-3.


Fig. 7: The designed control input $u_{k}$ under actuator faults of Cases 1-3.


Fig. 8: The dynamic switched gain $r(t)$ and the switching signal $\sigma(t)$.

Case 2: Consider the vehicle driving on the even ground, i.e., $D_{r}=0$ and the boundedness conditions of the uninterrupted contact from wheels to the road surface are selected as $D_{1}=0.0001$ and $D_{2}=0.0001$;

Case 3: Consider the vehicle driving on the bumpy ground,
i.e.,

$$
D_{r}= \begin{cases}\frac{h_{r}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi v_{s}}{L_{b}} t\right)\right), & 0 \leq t \leq 3  \tag{78}\\ 0, & \text { others }\end{cases}
$$

where $h_{r}$ is the height of the pavement, $v_{s}$ stands for the velocity of the vehicle, and $L_{b}$ expresses the length of the pavement. Here, these parameters are selected as $h_{r}=0.004 \mathrm{~m}$, $v_{s}=40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and $L_{b}=7 \mathrm{~m}$. The boundedness conditions of the uninterrupted contact from wheels to the road surface are selected as $D_{1}=0.0001$ and $D_{2}=0.0001$.

The test results of Cases 1-3 are described in Figs. 4-8. The suspension space of Cases 1-3 can be stabilized in the original region in Fig. 4. The relative vertical velocity response of Cases $1-3$ is exhibited in 5 . The electric current intensity of Cases 1-3 is shown in Fig. 6. The control input of Cases 1-3 is illustrated in Fig. 7. Furthermore, Fig. 8 displays the dynamic gain of the reduced-order switched state observer under a set of switching signals with ADT. Compared with Cases 1 and 2, the damping element from the active suspension systems can induce some oscillations at the start of the simulation, which are then gradually mitigated by the action of the proposed controller. Compared with Cases 2 and 3, the different road surface conditions may lead to different transient oscillatory behaviors in the system at the beginning. Finally, it is clear that all signals in the closed-loop system are bounded with the random road surface excitation over time with ADT.

## B. Comparison with Other Control Strategies

In what follows, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method compared with the state feedback control scheme in [29] and the full-order observer-based output feedback control scheme in [13]. Additionally, the initial values are the same as the aforementioned ones in Case 3. Also, the road surface excitation is considered as the same situation in Case 3. The compared results of the different strategies are shown in Figs. 9-12.

The suspension space of the different strategies is displayed in Fig. 9. The relative vertical velocity response of the different strategies is shown in 10 . The electric current intensity of the different strategies is shown in Fig. 11. The control input of the different strategies is shown in Fig. 12. In Figs. 9 and 12, it can be observed that the convergence rate of the state-feedback control scheme is faster than that of the proposed method; however, this comes at the expense of the state feedback control scheme generating a larger overshoot under a greater control input magnitude compared with the proposed method. In addition, the proposed method exhibits a lower oscillation frequency while concurrently demonstrating a quicker convergence speed. Therefore, compared with the other two control strategies, the proposed reduced-order observer-based output feedback control scheme has a better performance for the vehicle active suspension delayed system.

## V. Conclusion

This paper proposes a dynamic output feedback adaptive fault-tolerant control strategy for the switched vehicle active


Fig. 9: The suspension space $x_{1}$ of the different strategies.


Fig. 10: The relative vertical velocity $x_{2}$ of the different strategies.


Fig. 11: The electric current intensity $x_{3}$ of the different strategies.
suspension delayed system in the presence of the unknown measurement sensitivity from the system output. By utilizing the information from the systems output and the actuator's delayed input, the switched reduced-order state observer is constructed to compensate for the unavailable states with a dynamic switched gain. It is demonstrated that the effect of the actuator input delay and fault can be compensated by the


Fig. 12: The designed control input $u_{k}$ under actuator faults of the different strategies.
switched auxiliary systems via the backstepping technique. Based on the Nussbaum gain technique and the multiple Lyapunov functions, the proposed dynamic output feedback fault-tolerant control scheme can ensure that all the signals in the closed-loop system are semi-globally uniformly ultimate bounded, and its relative vertical displacement stays in the small zero neighbourhood under a set of switching signals with ADT. Finally, the flexibility and effectiveness of the proposed control approach have been illustrated by the case studies. In the next work, the output-feedback control problem of global stabilization will be investigated for switched semi-vehicle or full-vehicle active suspension systems under asynchronous switching with mode-dependent or edge-dependent ADT.

## Appendix

Proof of Proposition 1: Under a transformation $\tilde{\phi}_{i}=$ $r^{2-i-\varrho_{k}} \phi_{i}$ with $\tilde{\phi}=\left[\tilde{\phi}_{2}, \tilde{\phi}_{3}\right]^{\top}$, the boundedness of $\phi$ will be verified as follows. Consider the Lyapunov function as $V_{\tilde{\phi}}=\tilde{\phi}^{\top} P_{k} \tilde{\phi}$. Then, it induces a similar result from (26) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{\tilde{\phi}} \leq & 2 \tilde{\phi}^{\top} P_{k} K_{1, k} r^{2-\varrho_{k}} y-2 \tilde{\phi}^{\top} P_{k} K_{2} r^{-\varrho_{k}} \dot{r} y \\
& -\underline{\omega}_{k} \tilde{\phi}^{\top} P_{k} \tilde{\phi}-\varsigma\|\tilde{\phi}\|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\varsigma_{1}}\left\|P_{k} K_{1, k}\right\|^{2} r^{4-2 \varrho_{k}} y^{2}+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{2}}\left\|P_{k} K_{2}\right\| r^{-2 \varrho_{k}} \dot{r}^{2} y^{2} \\
& -\underline{\omega}_{k} \tilde{\phi}^{\top} P_{k} \tilde{\phi}-\left(\varsigma-\varsigma_{1}-\varsigma_{2}\right)\|\tilde{\phi}\|^{2}, \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{1, k}=\left[l_{3, k}, 0\right]^{\top}$ and $K_{2}=[1,2]^{\top}$ are parameter vectors. According to the definition of $\tilde{\theta}_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$, one can know that $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ is bounded. Then, by (35), (43), and (51), one gets $\alpha_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$ is bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Then, from (51), the actual control input $v_{k}$ is bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Based on (28), $y, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ are bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Meanwhile, $x_{1}$ is bounded based on (2). Combined with the boundedness of $y, r$, and $\dot{r}$, it can be verified from (13) and (79) that $\phi$ and $\xi$ are bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Similar to (79), the boundedness of $\varphi$ can be obtained on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. To proceed, based on the (14), $\hat{x}$ is bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. Thus, according to (15) and the boundedness of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$, one gets $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are bounded on $\left[0, T_{f}\right)$. The proof has been completed.
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